

CITY OF GLENDALE COMMON COUNCIL

Meeting Minutes

July 13, 2020

Regular meeting of the Common Council of the City of Glendale held in City Hall Council Chambers, 5909 North Milwaukee River Parkway, Glendale, Wisconsin.

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Kennedy at 6:00 p.m.

Roll Call: Present: Mayor Bryan Kennedy, Ald. Tomika Vukovic, Jim Daugherty, John Gelhard, Richard Wiese, Steven Schmelzling, and JoAnn Shaw. Absent: None.

Other Officials Present: Rachel Safstrom, City Administrator; John Fuchs, City Attorney; Mark Ferguson, Police Chief; Megan Humitz, City Clerk.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

The members of the Common Council, City staff, and all those present pledged allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

OPEN MEETING NOTICE.

Administrator Safstrom advised that in accordance with the Open Meeting Law, the local news media was advised on Thursday, July 9, 2020, of the date of this meeting; that the Agenda was posted on the official bulletin board of City Hall, the Glendale Police Department, and the North Shore Library; that copies of the Agenda were made available to the general public in the Municipal Building and the Police Department; and those persons who requested, were sent copies of the Agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA.

- I. File No. _____
Adoption of Minutes of Meetings held on June 22, 2020, Approval of Accounts Payable, and Payment 3 to LaLonde Contractors Inc. for work completed on the Lydell Avenue Reconstruction Project.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to adopt the minutes of meeting held on June 22, 2020, to approve Accounts Payable, and Payment 3 to LaLonde Contractors Inc. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

- II. File No. _____
Review and approval of the 2021-2025 Five Year Capital Improvement.

Administrator Safstrom stated the planned Capital Improvement Program for the years 2021 through 2025 has not been amended since first presented at the last Council meeting. Approving the 5-Year Capital Improvement Plan will allow staff to determine the proper revenue source for the anticipated expenses in 2021.

Ald. Vukovic raised the question regarding whether funds can be increased or decreased in any area if the need arises. Administrator Safstrom clarified the 5-Year Capital Improvement

Plan is for planning purposes, and those items can be addressed at the annual program budget review.

Motion by Ald. Shaw, seconded by Ald. Vukovic, to approve the 2021-2025 Five Year Capital Improvement as presented. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

III. File No.

Review and approval of the State/Municipal Agreement for I-43 Project from Bender Rd. to W. Daphne Rd.

Administrator Safstrom stated the I-43 North-South Freeway Corridor Project is being funded and will begin in 2021, with the preliminary work currently being finalized. The City entered into a Memorandum of Understanding in April 2014, which indicates the City's desire to expand Port Washington Road from 2 lanes to 4 lanes, as well as the City's responsibility for a portion of the expenses. The State/Municipal Agreement for a State-Let Highway Project indicates the total cost distribution and City responsibility for the project, which has been included in the 5-year CIP program for 2021 and 2022

Administrator Safstrom stated there have been discussions with the Department of Transportation on the project related to adding a façade to the bridge indicated a welcome to Glendale. Details related to this aspect have not yet been finalized, however at a minimum the bridge will be freshly painted at completion of the project.

Ald. Schmelzling questioned that possibility of having pedestrian paths added along the railroad tracks south of Mill Road. Administrator Safstrom indicated the railroad is still active, and therefore there is no plan for a pedestrian path, other than along Port Washington Road.

Ald. Gelhard inquired as to the exact amount of properties that will be or have been acquired in order to complete the project, as only four are listed in the MOU. Administrator Safstrom stated a total of 11 properties will have been acquired.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Schmelzling, to approve the State/Municipal Agreement for I-43 Project from Bender Rd. to W. Daphne Rd. as presented. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS.

IV. File No.

Review and possible approval of request to support the efforts of the Rails-to-Trails Conservancy to connect the Beerline Trail to the Oak Leaf Trail.

Administrator Safstrom stated the Beerline Trail Leadership Committee reached out regarding the connection from the Beerline Trail to the Oak Leaf Trail on the south side of Glendale. The City of Glendale staff has worked with the developer for several years to ask the WDOT to make a final determination on the I-43 project in order for the developer to begin. In 2019, a determination was made and plans were approved for the lowering of the I-43 bridge through the development. The Beerline Trail Leadership Committee has asked the City of Glendale to support a tunnel under I-43 that could be utilized by bicycle and pedestrian traffic, and has

submitted their request for a change to the DOT.

Ald. Wiese expressed opposition to the prospect of a tunnel under the freeway, and raised concern over the potential for hazardous or negative activities taking place in the tunnel. Administrator Safstrom stated at this time the request from Staff is to be supportive of the request from the Beerline Trail Committee.

Motion by Ald. Schmelzling, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to support the efforts of the Beerline Trail Committee and authorize the City Administrator to write a letter to the WDOT indicating the support. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

V. File No. _____
Compliance Maintenance Annual Report and Glendale Resolution, authorizing the filing of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

Administrator Safstrom stated the City of Glendale's sanitary sewer system is regulated by WPDES permit. As a condition of the permit, the City is required to submit a sanitary sewer Compliance Maintenance Annual Report to the Wisconsin DNR each year.

Motion by Ald. Shaw, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to approve the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report and Resolution, authorizing the filing of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

VI. File No. _____
Quit Claim Deed 6010 N Port Washington Road (Pier 1 Imports).

Memorandum of Understanding, Temporary Access Easement, and Final Access Easement for Bus Turnaround at Bayshore.

Administrator Safstrom stated as part of the upcoming plans for the redevelopment of Bayshore Town Center, a portion of the southerly drive at 6010 N. Port Washington Road needs to have an easement for the MCTS Busses to turnaround. In order to accomplish this, staff has worked with the property owner to vacate a portion of right-of-way that has been utilized by the property for parking. The right-of-way as depicted is not necessary to the City. Attorney Fuchs has been working with their attorney on a solution to allow for an easement on the site for the MCTS busses. The cost for construction of the improvements is 2/3 developer and 1/3 City TIF 8. After approval of the Memorandum of Understanding, Quit Claim Deed, and Access agreements, the next steps include a CSM, Plan Commission approval, and Council approval.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Vukovic, to approve the Quit Claim Deed for 6010 N Port Washington Road, the Memorandum of Understanding, Temporary Access Easement, and Final Access Easement for the MCTS Bus turn-around at Bayshore. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Schmelzling, Shaw, and Kennedy. Noes: Daugherty, Gelhard, and Wiese. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

VII. File No. _____

Review and approval of Bid Results for the 2020 Sidewalk Replacement Project.

Administrator Safstrom stated two bids were received, reviewed and tabulated for the 2020 Sidewalk Replacement Project. The project includes the replacement for approximately 45 squares on Bender Road and approximately 55 squares on E Silver Spring. Forward Contractors, LLC was the low bidder for this project. The estimated construction engineering and inspections costs on the project are \$5,000.00. The allowable construction period for this project runs through September 25, 2020.

Ald. Wiese questioned whether the City has worked with Forward Contractors, LLC previously. Administrator Safstrom confirmed that Forward Contractors has worked on one other project this year with the City.

Ald. Schmelzling questioned why only 100 squares were included in the project. Administrator Safstrom stated the number to be replaced was based on a \$40,000 project budget for this year.

Motion by Ald. Gelhard, seconded by Ald. Schmelzling, to accept the low base bid of \$31,550.00 and award of contract to Forward Contractors, LLC for the 2020 Sidewalk Replacement Project. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

VIII. File No. _____

Recommendation from the Plan Commission for review and approval of a Certified Survey Map for 7505 N. Berwyn Avenue and 2430 W. Greenwood Lane.

Administrator Safstrom stated a Certified Survey Map was created for 7505 N Berwyn Avenue and 2430 W Greenwood Lane. The Plan Commission reviewed the map and recommended the Common Council approve the CSM with the requirement of payment of the \$75 administration fee making the required technical corrections to the document and payment of City Engineer CSM technical review fees, and any other required feeds. The CSM is for the property owned by Ald. Wiese.

Motion by Ald. Shaw, seconded by Ald. Schmelzling, to approve the Certified Survey Map for 7505 N. Berwyn Avenue and 2430 W. Greenwood Lane, with the requirement for payment of the \$75 administration fee making the required technical corrections to the document and payment of City Engineer CSM technical review fees, and any other required fees if any. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Schmelzling, Shaw, and Kennedy. Noes: Daugherty, and Gelhard. Absent: None. Abstain: Wiese. Motion carried.

IX. File No. _____

Review and Approval of Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement between the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District and the City of Glendale for the Milwaukee River Floodplain Map Revision.

Administrator Safstrom stated the City has been working with MMSD for the extensive remapping project for the floodplain area. The City's engineering firm, Clark Dietz, has offered to assist MMSD in this project to ensure a timely completion. MMSD has recently worked on an agreement with Clark Dietz that would allow assistance from their firm to complete the project.

The staff time for Clark Dietz would be funded by MMSD. The Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement with MMDS allows for the reimbursement of costs associated with floodplain mapping from MMSD to the City of Glendale. Administrator Safstrom added the project will be run through the City of Glendale, and all invoices from Clark Dietz will be submitted to MMSD for payment. The agreement ensures the project will be done expeditiously. The project goal is to submit to FEMA by April 2021.

Ald. Schmelzling questioned whether the City of Glendale will be responsible for any costs. Administrator Safstrom confirmed the City is not responsible for anything at this time. Ald. Daugherty raised the question of the City's overall funding involvement. Administrator Safstrom clarified the City will not be funding the process as Clark Dietz will ensure thoroughness of the process.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Shaw, to approve Intergovernmental Cooperation Agreement Between the City of Glendale and the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District for the Milwaukee River Floodplain Map Revision as presented. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

X. File No.
Resolution authorizing State Trust Fund Loan for refinancing of debt for Tax Incremental District 7.

Administrator Safstrom stated the 2020 City Budget was adopted with the expectation a TIF #7 refinancing. The district generates about \$2.4 million of annual revenues available for debt payments. The proposed debt issuance is to provide funding to refinance the 2012 Community Development Authority Bonds that relate to TIF #7 with payments scheduled from 2021 to 2025. Along with refinancing completed in 2019, TIF #7 is anticipated to close in 2028. Approval of the resolution for the City of Glendale to receive a Wisconsin Board of Commissioners of Public Lands Municipal General Obligation Loan in the amount of \$8,832,146 would provide funding to restructure the outstanding TIF #7 Community Development Authority Lease Revenue Bond debt.

Motion by Ald. Vukovic, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to approve the application received from the Wisconsin Board of Commissioners of Public Lands Municipal General Obligation Loan in the amount of \$8,832,146. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Shaw, Wiese, and Schmelzling. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

XI. File No.
Review and approval of policy to "Ban the Box" on City Civil Service Applications.

Administrator Safstrom stated in 2016, the State of Wisconsin and City of Milwaukee signed a law which prohibits the State from "requesting a person applying for a position in the civil service, on an application or otherwise, to supply information regarding a conviction record of the applicant, or otherwise inquire into or consider the conviction record of the applicant before the applicant has been certified for the position." The Wisconsin Fair Employment Act does limit the information employers may seek from applicants for employment. Employers may not discriminate against applicants and employees on the basis of an arrest or conviction record.

Administrator Safstrom stated currently, the City of Glendale application does include the following question: “Do you currently have a pending criminal charge against you and/or have you ever been convicted of a crime, either misdemeanor or felony? (Note: A conviction record or pending arrest record does not constitute an automatic bar to employment and will be considered only if there is a substantial relationship to the circumstances of the particular position or if the employer deems there is a bona fide occupational qualification inherent in the position which requires this information prior to hiring.)”

Ald. Vukovic requested that staff review removing this question from the current application. While the City Administrator has the authority to remove the question, the Council can implement a policy removing the question so that another Administrator would not have the discretion to add it back on the application. Administrator Safstrom added this will not change the hiring process for the police department, as they are not able to hire felons. On the non-police side, background checks will still be conducted on potential employees.

Ald. Schmelzling questioned how Staff currently consider candidates with criminal records when doing background checks. Administrator Safstrom clarified if the charging or conviction do not apply directly to the position applied for, it will not impact the hiring process. Attorney Fuchs added the State Statutes clarify the conviction must be related to the position.

Motion by Ald. Vukovic, seconded by Ald. Gelhard, to set a policy that the City of Glendale application for civil service positions exclude any questions related to past or pending criminal charges. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Shaw, Wiese, and Schmelzling. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

XII. File No.

Review of current temporary sign ordinance on private property.

Administrator Safstrom stated there has been discussion at different levels regarding temporary signs on private property. Some temporary signage, such as election-related signage, is dictated by State Statute. Signs in the right-of-way are prohibited throughout the City. Business signage must go through the Director of Planning to ensure it adheres to the original sign plan approved by the Plan Commission. Signs not identified in the ordinance are not allowable. Examples include the graduation signs due to COVID-19, support hero signs, etc. Ald. Daugherty requested to review the policy on residential signage. Administrator Safstrom added if parameters are added to the existing ordinance, someone would be responsible for enforcing those parameters. Additionally, parameters would not regulate the content of the signage.

Ald. Wiese expressed concern over the number of political signage posted on some private property. Administrator Safstrom stated this signage cannot be regulated, as it is allowed per State Statute which only dictates size restrictions. Additionally, he indicated the City should offer education on the difference between property boundaries and City-owned properties. Administrator Safstrom stated we will offer this information both online and in our next newsletter.

Ald. Daugherty stated his overall concern is the lack of visual appeal a neighborhood has when it is overtaken by signage, both in size and number of signs. Ald. Schmelzling agreed that both the size and number of signs should be limited.

Ald. Vukovic raised concern over the regulation of posting signs ten feet from the right-of-way, as this is disadvantageous to residents with small front yard areas who should still have the

right to express themselves. Additionally, Ald. Vukovic expressed concern over sign regulation which could result in more complaints simply because a resident does not like their neighbor.

Ald. Schmelzling stated he feels business signage should be changeable. Administrator Safstrom clarified that is part of the Plan Program Signage set by the Plan Commission.

Mayor Kennedy recommended amending the language of the current ordinance to indicate a time limit of 90 days for any posted signage, and a requirement for those signs to be in good condition. Attorney Fuchs will provide an amended ordinance for approval at a future Council meeting.

This item was for informational purposes only.

XIII. File No. _____
Discussion and possible action on Face Masks in Public Places.

Mayor Kennedy requested the discussion regarding face masks in public places due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. There has been discussion of a potential ordinance or resolution mandating the wearing of face masks in public. The Common Council can choose to implement one of the proposed options in lieu of the statement supporting the North Shore Health Department guidelines that masks should be worn in public places. Mayor Kennedy stated the City of Milwaukee passed a mask mandate ordinance in a 15-0 vote, and the City of Shorewood has also passed an ordinance mandating masks. Mayor Kennedy expressed his willingness to sign any of the options, but advised the Council if they were to vote in favor of an ordinance, there would need to be measures of enforcement. If the Council approves a resolution, it places the responsibility on the public and allows businesses to require masks or refuse service.

Administrator Safstrom stated the language in the ordinance and resolution are exactly the same. The ordinance is enforceable. Furthermore, there are several challenges with implementing an ordinance. The Village of Shorewood recently adopted an ordinance and has had many questions that we may want to discuss if we are to proceed with an ordinance. Will businesses be required to turn away customers not wearing a mask, the definition of a public space, provisions if social distancing is not feasible a mask would be required, and does this include outdoor space. If the ordinance is enforceable, will it be by the Health Department or the Police Department.

Administrator Safstrom added support of the statement or the resolution is easiest to adhere to and regulate. At this time, the North Shore Health Department has 397 cases, with 295 recovered. There are 87 active cases, with 20 of those cases in Glendale.

Ald. Wiese asked for clarification regarding the language of the statement, and if the recommendation is for everyone to be required to wear masks. He suggested a change in the language of the statement to indicate “We defend the rights of Glendale business owners, at their discretion, to require masks to be worn in their businesses.” Ald. Vukovic disagreed with the addition of this statement, due to the potential for discrimination as a result of leaving it at the discretion of a business.

Attorney Fuchs stated if an ordinance is passed, it becomes a ministerial act and the City would have a duty to enforce the ordinance, or would leave themselves open to a lawsuit. If the statement is supported or a resolution is passed, the City would be immune to a lawsuit based on the verbiage. Enforcement would have to occur on the side of the police department or through

attorney charges, and would not be done through the health department, as it is currently written.

Chief Ferguson stated if a resolution is implemented, the police department would continue to have the ability to issue a citation for trespassing if a business requires masks and a customer refuses to adhere to the requirement or leave the establishment.

Ald. Vukovic raised concern over the ability to enforce anything stronger than a resolution, as we do not have the resources in a smaller community. She stated the importance of making sure people understand that masks saves lives, however with no substance to enforce an ordinance it would leave it open to failure and potential for the perception of bias. An ordinance without substance would put the burden on the police and health departments, and not encourage personal responsibility. There would be a need to add an educational component to the resolution to ensure it is well understood by the public. Ald. Vukovic stated it seems that businesses are trying to say they want to do the right thing by requiring masks, but have placed the responsibility of a mandate on the City. It should be the responsibility of the citizens to wear masks, and the businesses to enforce their requirement for customers to wear masks.

Ald. Gelhard recommended implementation of an ordinance, with an amendment to the current language which would require enforcement by the police department, and to change the language to remove the requirement for outdoor activities. Ald. Gelhard supports a strong stance on this issue and the City's responsibility to do what is possible to curtail the spread of COVID-19, and therefore would prefer an ordinance over a resolution.

Ald. Daugherty requested clarification regarding mask requirements for activities such as eating at a restaurant. Ald. Schmelzling clarified this would fall under the clause that it does not apply when obtaining goods or services where it is not practicable or feasible to wear a mask.

Ald. Schmelzling expressed concern that the City currently has a sign ordinance that is not being enforced, and the irony that there is hesitation to implement an ordinance that would save people's lives. In a recent survey he conducted of his constituents, Ald. Schmelzling had two-thirds of his residents in support of a mask mandate ordinance. He expressed a duty of the City to protect lives and those at risk due to those who do not willingly wear masks.

Mayor Kennedy requested clarification from Attorney Fuchs regarding if an ordinance is in place, how would the NS Health Department enforce this mandate. Attorney Fuchs stated they could issue orders, however there would need to be a penalty in place in the ordinance for noncompliance, such as a fine through the court. The ordinance would need to specify that it is enforceable by both the Police Department and the Health Department. Approval of a resolution would allow the City to support businesses requiring masks, and give the Police Department the authority to issue citations for trespassing if citizens do not comply. If a business does not enforce the mask requirement, there would be no repercussions for those not wearing masks.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Vukovic, to approve the implementation of a Resolution of the City of Glendale Pertaining to Face Covering Requirements During the COVID-19 Pandemic.

There was discussion regarding the removal of the outdoor space requirement.

Motion by Ald. Daugherty, seconded by Ald. Shaw, to amend the motion to change the language of the Resolution of the City of Glendale Pertaining to Face Covering

Requirements During the COVID-19 Pandemic to remove the clause for outdoor public space, and change the age requirement to over four years of age. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Wiese, Shaw, Gelhard. Noes: Ald. Schmelzling. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

The amended motion was voted on. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Wiese, and Shaw. Noes: Ald. Gelhard and Schmelzling. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried.

XIV. File No.

Discussion of potential recording of Common Council Meetings.

Administrator Safstrom stated Ald. Schmelzling has requested the Council discuss the potential option of recording Common Council meetings for the website. If the City records meetings, it becomes a public record and needs to be stored for 7 years. If there is later a determination that the recording would become the official minutes, the recording must be kept indefinitely. Additionally, there would be a need for staff to be present to monitor the recording, which will be an additional expense.

Ald. Schmelzling brought the issue of recorded meetings to the attention of the Council, as there has been concern raised by some of his constituents that meetings be made more accessible, especially in light of COVID-19. The option of offering a live stream or a recording would offer access to a wider range of community members.

Ald. Vukovic questioned if the meeting is live streamed, if there is still a necessity to store the footage. Administrator Safstrom indicated the meeting would be required to be stored whether it was live or recorded because we are a public entity. Ald. Vukovic requested obtaining a cost comparison on the total costs associated with live stream meetings versus recorded.

Ald. Shaw recommended reaching out to Nicolet High School regarding their technology, as they have CCTV access, which may be an option for recording meetings. Ald. Shaw questioned if minutes would still be required if meetings are recorded. Attorney Fuchs clarified that minutes would still be necessary, unless the recordings were determined to be the official minutes of the meetings.

Ald. Wiese commented he does not feel the Council Chambers are designed for the recording or streaming of minutes, as a permanent camera that would allow for recording of the entire Council would be difficult to view. Additionally, media storage has become a growing cost.

Mayor Kennedy stated there is a need to make meetings more accessible to the public, and questioned if a YouTube channel would be a possibility for airing meetings. Administrator Safstrom indicated we would need to determine the cost, and would also need to ensure back-up storage of those meetings in the event of a YouTube error.

This item was for discussion purposes only.

COMMISSION, COMMITTEE AND BOARD REPORTS.

There were several updates from Council members, on the activities of the various Commissions, Committees and Boards on which they serve.

CLOSED SESSION.

Motion by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Schmelzling, to convene in Closed Session per Wis. Stats. §19.85(1)(e) for Deliberating or negotiating the purchasing of public properties, the investing of public funds, or conducting other specified public business, whenever competitive or bargaining reasons require a closed session (discussion on North Shore Library agreement and potential relocation of facility). Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

A closed session of approximately 19 minutes was held. The Council discussed the North Shore Library Agreement and potential relocation of the facility.

Motion by Ald. Gelhard, seconded by Ald. Daugherty, to reconvene to open session and regular order of business. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Motion carried.

ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS.

No action was taken on items discussed during closed session.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, motion was made by Ald. Wiese, seconded by Ald. Shaw, to adjourn the meeting. Ayes: Ald. Vukovic, Daugherty, Gelhard, Wiese, Schmelzling, and Shaw. Noes: None. Absent: None. Abstain: None. Motion carried and adjournment of the Common Council was ordered at p.m., until Monday, July 27, 2020, at 6:00 p.m.

Megan E. Humitz
City Clerk

Recorded: July 14, 2020.